
SkillsUSA 2024 Additive Manufacturing State Challenge

Medallion Models

Welcome to the “logo Medallion” challenge!

The task at hand is to design an eye-catching medallion that represents your school, yourself, mascot, state, country, event, or hobby.

Design Examples:

- Bump Maps
- Displacement Texture
- Color/Material Changes
- Embossed/Debossed Text
- Motion

Example of a Basic Design





Competition Requirements

1. The design **must** be completely 3D printed.
2. The design **can** be 3D printed using any technology.
3. The design **must** contain at least two legibly printed words.
4. The design **can** contain 3D printed bodies that are glued together for the final part.
5. Parts **can** be colored or painted.
6. The printed design **can** have moving bodies.
7. The design **must** be at least 3" x 3" x 1/4"
8. 3D Printed Design - Students **must** create a design that:
 - Is original and designed by competitor
 - Prints all parts in less than **8** hours
 - Uses less than **5** cubic inches of model and/or support combined for all parts.
9. GrabCAD Print software downloaded to computer for competitors to use at competition. Software available for download at this link: <https://grabcad.com/print>. This software will be used during the competition. Competitors should download and familiarize themselves with this software before competition. c. Empty USB Drive for transferring competition files.
10. Students **must** submit files to be printed via state designated file share site no later than **8AM** on **April 10th**. Final prints will be delivered the day of the contest so that students can test, assemble/modify and be evaluated.

Tips for Competitors

Here are some tips to maximize the points awarded to you:

- Build debossed text on a horizontal surface for best results. This may require building the part on its edge or standing up.
- Paint 3D is a free tool to help design the part.
- Try to leverage a design with multiple printed colors or technologies for a more creative part.
- Leverage post-processing techniques to smooth or color printed bodies.
- Additional moving parts may add to your score but can produce more points of failure on the final assembly.



-
- Use online resources (YouTube, GrabCAD Tutorials)
 - Whenever intellectual property (IP) deters you from a project, try using approximate geometries to communicate the design intent.
 - Optional design for additive manufacturing learning resources:
 - Stratasys Think Additively™ Masterclass:
 - <https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLUYaY5EIPtNBdU-s-7I9rI05IBHHITarI>

State Competition Procedure

Before or on competition day:

1. Students submit Engineering Notebook (See engineering notebook guidelines below.)

Students submit print files in both CAD (.step, .iges, .sldprt, etc.) and mesh (STL, 3MF, OBJ, etc.) format to

<https://www.dropbox.com/request/pVLH8ZYy6Dqo1IRz3WUq>

2. Students submit physical parts.
3. Students submit final assembly, if applicable.
4. Students submit their presentation.

State Competition Judging Criteria

1. The Engineering Notebook should contain robust content, including, at a minimum, the following:
 - 1.1. Be clearly labeled with competitor name(s), date and page # on each page
 - 1.2. Begin with a problem statement
 - 1.3. Include discovery and documentation of approach to solve problem
 - 1.4. Include sketched design concepts with critical features labeled
 - 1.5. Critical dimensions clearly labeled in design sketch
 - 1.6. Considerations for designing for additive manufacturing distinctly addressed (i.e., part strength, part orientation) especially including any expected risks during printing.
 - 1.7. Screenshots of the print time and material usage for all printed parts
 - 1.8. Design decisions and alternatives are documented and evaluated thoughtfully



-
2. The design must adhere to the Competition Requirements stated on the prior page.
 3. Quality of final assembly
 - 3.1. Does it perform the function in the manner it was designed to do?
 - 3.2. Does it meet all requirements in competition guidelines?
 - 3.3. Do inserted components or multiple printed parts mate together properly?
 - 3.4. Did the students design the part with additive manufacturing in mind?
 - 3.5. Is there sufficient tolerance between parts for movement?
 4. The design must illustrate best practices for “design for additive manufacturing (DFAM)”. Below are some *potential* DFAM metrics to optimize for.
 - 4.1. Build Time
 - 4.2. Post-Processing/Support Removal Time
 - 4.3. Functionality Optimization (gear ratio, pliability, strength, etc.)
 - 4.4. Monetary Savings
 - 4.5. Material Consumption
 - 4.6. Energy Usage
 - 4.7. Component Consolidation (lack of store-bought hardware)
 - 4.8. Lightweighting for Ergonomics
 5. Presentation Criteria
 - 5.1. The team clearly describes their understanding of the problem to be solved.
 - 5.2. Design Process: good design logic is used for key design choices. Intentional and well-communicated
 - 5.3. The presentation is professional and well-rehearsed
 - 5.4. The presentation emphasizes quantitative improvements (measured and estimated) of the time, quality, or cost of the improvement as well as any DFAM tactics employed.
 - 5.5. Practical evaluation: team demonstrates visually (videos, photos, drawings, animation, etc.) the task they improved, both before and after.